arXiv:1608.03412v2 [gr-qc] 22 Dec 2016

The space time DoF surface density as a phase-space driven by acceleration

Merav Hadad *

December 23, 2016

Abstract

Padmanabhan found a definition for the surface density of space time degrees of freedom. We prove that this density can be constructed from a phase space which is derived with respect to a special space like vector field. This vector field is the direction of an accelerating vector field. After projecting this phase space along a velocity vector field, we show that Padmanabhan's surface density is actually the projected phase space during one period of the Euclidean time which is expected for a canonical ensemble. This suggests that Padmanabhan's density should be regarded as a spatial phase space which is driven by acceleration.

It is well known that an inertial detector will not always register the same particle density as an accelerating detector and a uniformly accelerated detector will 'see' thermal radiation[1, 2] even though the field is in the vacuum Minkowski state. Padmanabhan [3] used the Davies-Unruh temperature for accelerating observer and found that the surface density of space time degrees of freedom is given by

$$32\pi U^{ab}_{cd}\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon^{cd} \tag{1}$$

*meravha@openu.ac.il

where $U^{abcd} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{abcd}}$ and ϵ_{ab} is the bi-normal to the surface. In this paper we suggest that Padmanabhan's surface density is part of a special phase space of accelerating reference frames.

The idea that the space-time degrees of freedom is a phase space is not new. Carlip and Teitelboim [4] found that the Euclidean opening angle is canonically conjugate to the local measure of area on the horizon of the black hole and summing this over all horizon geometries yields the black hole entropy. This property was extended to black holes in general theories of gravity [5].

However, Padmanabhan's surface density of space time degrees of freedom was found by using the equipartition relation. He used the idea that in thermal equilibrium each degree of freedom carries an amount of energy $\frac{1}{2}k_BT$ and thus the "total degrees of freedom" will be the "total energy" divided by the energy of each degree of freedom. Taking the total energy to be the Komar mass, the temperature to be the Davies-Unruh temperature for an accelerating observer, and using the gravitational field equation for D dimensional Lanczos-Lovelock models, Padmanabhan was able to establish the space time degrees of freedom surface density. He found that this surface density depends only on aspects of the gravitational theory and on the surface spanned by the bi-normal $\epsilon_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(n_a u_b - n_b u_a)$ where u^a and n^a are unit vectors of the velocity and acceleration, respectively. Padmanabhan also suggested that this surface density of space time degrees of freedom should be some kind of phase space.

In this paper we argue that indeed Padmanabhan's density of space time degrees of freedom is a special kind of phase space. It is special since, instead of deriving it with respect to some time like vector, it is derived with respect to a space like vector. The fact that one may find a useful space time phase space using space like, instead of time like vector, for foliating space time, is not new [6]. We show that if the space like vector of foliation is the direction of acceleration of an accelerating reference frame then the resulting phase space can be identified as Padmanabhan's density.

As we will see, the projection of this phase space along some non-geodesic velocity vector field that has the same direction of acceleration as the ref-

erence frame gives an interesting result: the projected phase-space vanishes if the detector does not accelerate (or even move along a geodesic with a non affine parameter) as expected from combining the Unruh effect with the equivalence principle. We see that foliating space time with respect to the direction of the acceleration of some reference frame enables us to distinguish between the space time degrees of freedom, which are detected by an accelerating detector, and those detected by some free-falling detector at the same point. This foliation "picks up" a specific direction which, by definition, is normal to the observer velocity. Thus, if an observer does not accelerate there is no preferred direction which is normal to the observer velocity, and this phase space can't even be uniquely defined (and even if it is defined for arbitrary normal vector it doesn't contribute to the phase space). Moreover, we show that the surface density of space time degrees of freedom found by Padmanabhan is actually the projected phase space evaluated during one period of the periodic Euclidean time which is expected at the canonical ensemble. Thus, our derivation proves that indeed Padmanabhan's surface density is a special phase space and that this phase space is relevant only for accelerating reference frames.

We start by defining the direction of the space-like vector field. We take an accelerating detector with a D velocity unit vector field u^a and acceleration $a^a = u^b \nabla_b u^a \equiv a n^a$ (where n^a is a unit vector and $u^a n_a = 0$) [1]. Next we foliate space time with respect to the unit vector field n_a by defining a $(D-1)$- hyper-surface which is normal to n_a. The lapse function M and shift vector W_a satisfy $r_a = M n_a + W_a$ where $r^a \nabla_a r = 1$ and r is constant on Σ_{D-1}. The Σ_{D-1} hyper-surfaces metric h_{ab} is given by $g_{ab} = h_{ab} + n_a n_b$. The extrinsic curvature of the hyper-surfaces is given by $K_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_n h_{ab}$ where $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the Lie derivative along n^a.

As was first noted by Brown [7] for generalized theories of gravity, the canonical conjugate variable of the extrinsic curvature K_{bc} is $4\sqrt{-h} n_a n_d U_0^{abcd}$. Where U_0^{abcd} is an auxiliary variable, which equals $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{abcd}}$ when the equations of motion hold. There are other canonical conjugate pairs, such as h_{ab} and its

[1] We assume that both unit vectors: $n^a$and u^a are hyper surface orthogonal and thus fulfill Frobenius's theorem

canonical conjugate variable. Some may even depend on Brown's canonical pairs. However, surprisingly we found that in order to identify Padmanabhan's surface density as phase-space we do not need to find and verify all the space time independent phase-spaces. [2]

The next step is "picking out" the relevant phase-space that we expect to detect by the accelerating detector. We suggest to distinguish the relevant part of this phase-space from the others by projecting the phase-space tensors along the time like unit vector u_b which is the velocity of the accelerating detector. This suggestion is in agreement with different kind of physical quantities which are calculated with respect to an observer's trajectory such as energy densities $\rho = T_{ab}u^a u^b$ and Komar mass density $\rho_{Komar} = \left(T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}T g_{ab}\right) u^a u^b$. Thus we argue that the relevant phase space for a detector with D-velocity u^a can be identified by projection of the extrinsic curvature tensor and its canonical conjugate tensor variable along its D-velocity u^a:[3]

$$\left[K^{nm}u_m u_n(x), 4\sqrt{h}U_0^{abcd}n_a u_b u_c n_d(\tilde{x})\right] = \hbar\delta^{D-1}(x-\tilde{x}). \tag{2}$$

Using $K^{ab}u_b u_a = 2n^a a_a = 2a$ and $a_a = a n_a$, the gravitational phase-space density detected by a detector with D-velocity u^a at point P is expected to be

$$8\sqrt{h}U_0^{abcd}a_a u_b u_c n_d \tag{3}$$

Note that this phase-space density vanishes if the detector does not accelerate (since then $a = 0$) or move along a geodesic with a non affine parameter (since

[2] We believe that this fact by itself is interesting and that this phase space should be farther investigated.

[3] This means that we distinguish part of this phase-space from the others by projecting the extrinsic curvature and its canonical conjugate variable along the time like unit vector u_b. Actually this should be done more carefully since the Lie derivative of the normal vector u_b does not vanish in general and thus leads, for example, to a contribution to the canonical conjugation of h_{ab}.

then $a^a = u^b \nabla_b u^a \equiv a u^a$ and thus $n^a = u^a$and the phase-space density vanishes from symmetry). This means that foliating space time with respect to the direction of the acceleration of some reference frame enables us to distinguish between the gravitational degrees of freedom, which are detected by an accelerating detector from those detected by some free-falling detector at the same point. This foliation "picks up" a special direction which, by definition, is normal to the observer velocity. Note that if an observer does not accelerate there is no preferred direction which is normal to the observer velocity, and this phase space can't be defined uniquely. All this means that this phase space represents some extra degrees of freedom that a detector detects due to its acceleration.

Moreover, this is a $D-1$ volume density: a number per time and per a $D-2$ manifold. Thus this foliation leads naturally to the area property which is expected for a space time degrees of freedom.

However, this is not Padmanabhan's surface density. Deriving Padmanabhan's surface density from these phase space requires calculating it during some specific period of time. In order to find this period we assume that an accelerated observer detects her environment as an equilibrium system at temperature T even in curved spacetime. This is one of the basic assumptions in [3] and it leads to stationary systems with stationary space time and thus stationary phase space. Moreover, this kind of canonical ensemble gives thermal Greens functions which are periodic in the Euclidean time with period $\beta = 1/T$ [8]. Assuming this is also the case for fields which represent the gravitational degrees of freedom we take the period $\beta = 1/T$ as the period of Euclidian time needed to derive Padmanabhan's surface density. Thus the gravitational degrees of freedom surface density detected by an accelerated observer during one period of Euclidean time is

$$\frac{1}{T} 8\sqrt{h} U_0^{abcd} a_a u_b u_c n_d. \tag{4}$$

Finally, using the argument that the temperature seen by an accelerated observer equals $Na/2\pi$ even in curved spacetime [3], we deduce that the degrees of freedom surface density in a one period Euclidean time detected

by an accelerating observer is:

$$16\pi U_0^{abcd}\varepsilon_{ab}\varepsilon_{cd}. \tag{5}$$

Up to factor 8, this is precisely the expression for entropy density of space time found by Padmanabhan using the equipartition law for static metric.

Although Padmanabhan's surface density of space time degrees of freedom depends only on terms of the gravitational theory and the bi-normal surface ε_{ab}, these degrees of freedom might be the extra degrees of freedom detected by an accelerating detector due to its acceleration. However, this suggestion needs further verification and investigation. One possible direction can be achieved by comparing this result with the Noether charge surface entropy for black holes [9, 10]. As was found in [6] Noether charge surface entropy may also be regarded as a projected phase space that is derived along a space like direction: in this case the space like direction is the radial direction. It will be interesting to examine these two sources of phase space foliation: the radial direction for black holes and the acceleration direction for accelerating observers, in the special case where these two directions coincide. Another possible insight could come from comparing our special foliation to Padmanabhan's procedure [11] using an auxiliary null vector field which is identified with normals to null surfaces in spacetime, since both of them generate this surface density. Moreover, recently it was found [12] that an entropy area density can be calculated for general class of null surfaces, by using a Virasoro algebra and a central charge. It will be interesting to check the relevance of our special foliation to these general class of null surfaces. We suggest to do that by extending our method to two vector fields n^a and u^a which do not maintain the relation $u^b\nabla_b u^a = an^a$ but are normal to each other and generate the expected surface degrees of freedom.

To summarize, in this paper we derived a special phase space by foliating space time with respect to the direction of an accelerating vector field. We found that the projection of this phase space along the velocity vector of an accelerating detector vanishes if the detector does not accelerate (or move

along a geodesic with a non affine parameter). Thus foliating space time with respect to the direction of accelerating vector field enables us to distinguish between the space time degrees of freedom, which are detected by an accelerating detector, from the one detected by some freely-falling detector at the same point. Since this result is in agreement with combining the Unruh effect with the equivalence principle, we suggest that this is the density of space time degrees of freedom detected by an accelerating detector. Moreover, for canonical ensembles, evaluating this projected phase space during one period of periodic Euclidean time gives the surface density of space time degrees of freedom found by Padmanabhan using the equipartition relation. Thus, our derivation proves Padmanabhan's prediction that the degrees of freedom surface density is a special phase space and proves that these degrees of freedom are relevant only for accelerating observers.

References

[1] P.C.W. Davies Journal of Physics A.**8** (1975) 609

[2] W.G. Unruh Physical Review D. **14** (1976) 870.

[3] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124040 (2010)

[4] S. Carlip and C. Teitelboim,Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 1699 [gr-qc/9312002].

[5] R. Brustein and M. Hadad, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 653 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5273 [hep-th]].

[6] M. Hadad and L. Rosenblum, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 12, 124025 (2015)

[7] J. D. Brown, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 7011 (1995) [gr-qc/9506085].

[8] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Cambridge University Press Cambridge (1982).

[9] R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3427 (1993) [gr-qc/9307038].

[10] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 846 (1994) [gr-qc/9403028].

[11] T. Padmanabhan, Entropy **17**, 7420 (2015)

[12] S. Chakraborty, S. Bhattacharya and T. Padmanabhan, arXiv:1605.06988 [gr-qc].